

Flash Comment

US-China relations on a concerning path - part 2

China overnight denied yesterday's news story that China's US Treasury bond purchases related to trade policies and that China was considering reducing purchases. China's State Administration of Foreign Exchange (SAFE) sent out a statement denying this. The statement said the news may 'quote the wrong source of information, or it may be false news', stating that FX reserves are conducted in accordance with the principle of diversification and ensuring overall safety of foreign exchange assets and 'maintain or increase their value'.

What to make of this? It is possible that China is considering slowing down treasury purchases but this can be fully justified from a market point of view. Many big investors such as Bill Gross (fund manager at Janus Capital Management and sometimes called the 'bond king') have warned of a bear market in US Treasuries. Scaling down treasury purchases could be fully justified from a professional portfolio point of view due to US fiscal stimulus, Fed tightening and low unemployment in the US.

So, was this simply all a big mistake? This is hard to know. The unofficial sources *did* mention yesterday that the considerations related partly to trade tensions. It is hard not to believe that (1) it *has* been discussed in relation to trade or (2) that China deliberately planted the story as a friendly reminder to the US but then denied it afterwards so as not to provoke Donald Trump, who has proved quite unpredictable for the Chinese.

Either way, the genie is out of the bottle and, if it were ever in doubt, China has reminded the US that it has tools to hit back at the US if protectionist measures against China are coming. It also gives a **helping hand to the pragmatic camp within the US** administration, which has warned Trump against triggering a trade war. It has been reported previously that this camp is quite big but opposed by the trade hawks consisting of Wilbur Ross (the Secretary of Commerce), Robert Lighthizer (US Trade Representative) and Peter Navarro (the Director of the National Trade Council within the White House). Trump is leaning towards the hawks but has so far refrained from taking any significant action. It has mostly been harsh rhetoric. However, since Trump came back from his trip to Beijing in early November, he has raised his voice again on trade and suggested trade measures against China are on the way (see *Flash Comment – US-China relations on a concerning path*, 19 December 2017).

The latest measures against China are the blocking of Ant Financial's purchase of MoneyGram and AT&T walking away from a deal to sell the Huawei smartphone Mate 10 to customers in the US, allegedly due to political pressure from Washington. A letter from Washington lawmakers to the Federal Communications Commission last month said Congress had 'long been concerned about Chinese espionage in general and Huawei's role in that espionage'.

We do not expect China to sell US holdings but it may slow down purchases, from a purely portfolio point of view based on a view of a US bond bear market that many other investors share. However, China may use the threat to sell US bonds to deter the US from taking protectionist measures against China. This is just one of many areas where China can retaliate. Making life difficult for US companies in China and restricting sales of the rare earth minerals used in many high-tech products such as mobile phones (where China has close to a monopoly) are just a few of these (see *Flash Comment – What Trump means for China*, 9 November 2016).

Recent China research

Flash Comment – Fighting financial risks at the top of China's agenda, 21 December 2017

Flash Comment – US-China relations on a concerning path, 19 December 2017

China Outlook – Moderate slowdown and CNY stabilisation, 6 December 2017

China takes more steps to fight financial risks, 20 November 2017

Research China – The housing party is over, 14 November 2017

China – New leadership points to continuation - but no successor for Xi Jinping, 25 October 2017

Chief Analyst
Allan von Mehren
+45 45 12 80 55
alvo@danskebank.dk

Is Trump reconsidering Taiwan as a bargaining chip?

Adding to recent tensions, there are also signs that Trump may revert to playing the Taiwan card as a bargaining chip (see *South China Morning Post*, 10 January). The House in the US Congress has passed two pro-Taiwan pieces of legislation. The bills still need approval by the Senate and the President but the issue is highly sensitive. As we wrote after Trump's election for President, the Taiwan issue is a line *not* to be crossed for China (see *Flash Comment: A clash between US and China a rising risk for markets*, 12 December 2016). Playing the Taiwan card could backfire on Trump – and Taiwan. Of Taiwanese exports, 30% go to China and, as exports are 70% of GDP in Taiwan, this corresponds to 20% of Taiwan's GDP. China has a lot of leverage over Taiwan on the economic front but would clearly prefer not to have to go down that road. However, if anyone questions the 'one-China policy', the situation could escalate and China would respond.

The passage of the bill in US Congress comes a month after a decision by Trump to sign the National Defence Authorisation Act, which, among other things, allows the US military to have warship port calls in Taiwan. This triggered a strong response from Beijing and the Chinese embassy in Washington. Li Kexin from the embassy said that **'The moment a US warship called on Kaohsiung port [on Taiwan] would be the time the People's Liberation Army took Taiwan by force'** (see *Reuters*, 11 December 2017). The words illustrate how sensitive the issue of Taiwan is for China.

Today's *China Daily* quotes Foreign Ministry spokesman Lu Kang as saying that the **Taiwan Travel Act passed by the US House seriously violates the 'one-China policy'** and principles established in three joint China-US communiqués. Lu Kang urged the US to handle the Taiwan question carefully, to refrain from any official contact with Taiwan and to take concrete action to maintain China-U.S. ties. The Taiwan Travel Act approved by the US House of Representatives would allow US government officials to travel to Taiwan and meet counterparts there.

US-China relations on a concerning path

As we wrote in December, US-China relations are on a concerning path and we probably have to get used to a higher level of tension between the two countries. However, no country would benefit from a trade conflict and we hope the US and China can get back on a track of dealing with their differences through co-operation. However, the recent development does suggest that the risk of a trade war has increased and we cannot rule it out in 2018.

Disclosures

This research report has been prepared by Danske Bank A/S ('Danske Bank'). The author of this research report is Allan von Mehren, Chief Analyst.

Analyst certification

Each research analyst responsible for the content of this research report certifies that the views expressed in the research report accurately reflect the research analyst's personal view about the financial instruments and issues covered by the research report. Each responsible research analyst further certifies that no part of the compensation of the research analyst was, is or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations expressed in the research report.

Regulation

Danske Bank is authorised and subject to regulation by the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority and is subject to the rules and regulation of the relevant regulators in all other jurisdictions where it conducts business. Danske Bank is subject to limited regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority (UK). Details on the extent of the regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from Danske Bank on request.

Danske Bank's research reports are prepared in accordance with the recommendations of the Danish Securities Dealers Association.

Conflicts of interest

Danske Bank has established procedures to prevent conflicts of interest and to ensure the provision of high-quality research based on research objectivity and independence. These procedures are documented in Danske Bank's research policies. Employees within Danske Bank's Research Departments have been instructed that any request that might impair the objectivity and independence of research shall be referred to Research Management and the Compliance Department. Danske Bank's Research Departments are organised independently from, and do not report to, other business areas within Danske Bank.

Research analysts are remunerated in part based on the overall profitability of Danske Bank, which includes investment banking revenues, but do not receive bonuses or other remuneration linked to specific corporate finance or debt capital transactions.

Financial models and/or methodology used in this research report

Calculations and presentations in this research report are based on standard econometric tools and methodology as well as publicly available statistics for each individual security, issuer and/or country. Documentation can be obtained from the authors on request.

Risk warning

Major risks connected with recommendations or opinions in this research report, including as sensitivity analysis of relevant assumptions, are stated throughout the text.

Expected updates

Ad hoc.

Date of first publication

See the front page of this research report for the date of first publication.

General disclaimer

This research report has been prepared by Danske Bank (a division of Danske Bank A/S). It is provided for informational purposes only. It does not constitute or form part of, and shall under no circumstances be considered as, an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to purchase or sell any relevant financial instruments (i.e. financial instruments mentioned herein or other financial instruments of any issuer mentioned herein and/or options, warrants, rights or other interests with respect to any such financial instruments) ('Relevant Financial Instruments').

The research report has been prepared independently and solely on the basis of publicly available information that Danske Bank considers to be reliable. While reasonable care has been taken to ensure that its contents are not untrue or misleading, no representation is made as to its accuracy or completeness and Danske Bank, its affiliates and subsidiaries accept no liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss, including without limitation any loss of profits, arising from reliance on this research report.

The opinions expressed herein are the opinions of the research analysts responsible for the research report and reflect their judgement as of the date hereof. These opinions are subject to change and Danske Bank does not undertake to notify any recipient of this research report of any such change nor of any other changes related to the information provided herein.

This research report is not intended for, and may not be redistributed to, retail customers in the United Kingdom or the United States.

This research report is protected by copyright and is intended solely for the designated addressee. It may not be reproduced or distributed, in whole or in part, by any recipient for any purpose without Danske Bank's prior written consent.

Disclaimer related to distribution in the United States

This research report was created by Danske Bank A/S and is distributed in the United States by Danske Markets Inc., a U.S. registered broker-dealer and subsidiary of Danske Bank A/A, pursuant to SEC Rule 15a-6 and related interpretations issued by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The research report is intended for distribution in the United States solely to 'U.S. institutional investors' as defined in SEC Rule 15a-6. Danske Markets Inc. accepts responsibility for this research report in connection with distribution in the United States solely to 'U.S. institutional investors'.

Danske Bank is not subject to U.S. rules with regard to the preparation of research reports and the independence of research analysts. In addition, the research analysts of Danske Bank who have prepared this research report are not registered or qualified as research analysts with the NYSE or FINRA but satisfy the applicable requirements of a non-U.S. jurisdiction.

Any U.S. investor recipient of this research report who wishes to purchase or sell any Relevant Financial Instrument may do so only by contacting Danske Markets Inc. directly and should be aware that investing in non-U.S. financial instruments may entail certain risks. Financial instruments of non-U.S. issuers may not be registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and may not be subject to the reporting and auditing standards of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Report completed: 11 January 2017, 10:16 CET

Report first disseminated: 11 January 2017, 11:35 CET